

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 104361-WO-00		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
International application No. PCT/JP2005/001196		International filing date (day/month/year) 28.01.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.01.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
Applicant SUMITOMO ELECTRIC INDUSTRIES, LTD.			

<p>1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. I</td> <td colspan="11" style="text-align: left;">Basis of the opinion</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. II</td> <td colspan="11" style="text-align: left;">Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. III</td> <td colspan="11" style="text-align: left;">Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td colspan="11" style="text-align: left;">Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. V</td> <td colspan="11" style="text-align: left;">Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td colspan="11" style="text-align: left;">Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td colspan="11" style="text-align: left;">Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td colspan="11" style="text-align: left;">Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Box No. I	Basis of the opinion												Box No. II	Priority												Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability												Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention												Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement												Box No. VI	Certain documents cited												Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application												Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																																																																																									
	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion																																																																																																																			
	Box No. II	Priority																																																																																																																			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																																																																																																																			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																																																																																																																			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																																																																																																																			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																																																																																																																			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																																																																																																																			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																																																																																																																			
<p>2. FURTHER ACTION</p> <p>If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.</p> <p>If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.</p> <p>For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>																																																																																																																					
<p>3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>																																																																																																																					

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001196

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- type of material

a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
- format of material

in written format
 in computer readable form
- time of filing/furnishing

contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001196

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																			
<p>1. Statement</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%; padding: 5px;">Novelty (N)</td> <td style="width: 60%; padding: 5px;">Claims <u>1 - 6</u></td> <td style="width: 20%; padding: 5px;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims</td> <td colspan="2" style="padding: 5px;"><hr/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Inventive step (IS)</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims</td> <td colspan="2" style="padding: 5px;"><hr/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims <u>1 - 6</u></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims</td> <td colspan="2" style="padding: 5px;"><hr/></td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Claims 1-6</p> <p>Document 1: JP, 2003-303711, A (JFE Steel Corporation), 24 October, 2003 (24.10.03), Full text, all drawings & CA, 2378417, A1 & CN, 1381852, A & EP, 1246209, A & US, 2003077448, A1</p> <p>Document 2: JP, 10-335128, A (DDK Ltd.), 18 December, 1998 (18.12.98), Full text, all drawings & CN, 1198579, A & EP, 0869517, A & US, 6102980, A</p> <p>Document 3: JP, 11-238613, A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 31 August, 1999 (31.08.99), Full text, all drawings & CN, 1198577, A & EP, 0872856, A & US, 6063209, A</p> <p>Document 4: JP, 2002-246219, A (Hitachi Powdered Metal Co., Ltd.), 30 August, 2002 (30.08.02), Full text, all drawings & DE, 10207133, A</p> <p>Document 5: JP, 2003-234206, A (Asahi Kasei Corporation), 22 August, 2003 (22.08.03), Full text, all drawings</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">In the case of the powdered magnetic core that uses iron-based powder described in document 1, setting the grain diameter of a metal oxide, which is a dispersed particle, at 0.01μm - 0.1μm (see document 2) is within the ordinary design ability expected of a person skilled in the art. Also, using dispersed particles as spacers (see document 3) and specifying the thickness of the membrane (see documents 4 and 5) are each a mere matter of design variation.</p>			Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	YES	Claims	<hr/>		Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES	Claims	<hr/>		Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	YES	Claims	<hr/>	
Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	YES																		
Claims	<hr/>																			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES																		
Claims	<hr/>																			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	YES																		
Claims	<hr/>																			

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

TRANSLATION

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 104361-WO-00		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/JP2005/001196	International filing date (day/month/year) 28.01.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.01.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC 		
Applicant SUMITOMO ELECTRIC INDUSTRIES, LTD.		

<p>1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. I</td> <td>Basis of the opinion</td> <td>Priority</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion	Priority	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	Lack of unity of invention	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	Certain documents cited	Certain defects in the international application	Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>										
Box No. I	Basis of the opinion	Priority	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	Lack of unity of invention	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	Certain documents cited	Certain defects in the international application	Certain observations on the international application									
<p>2. FURTHER ACTION</p> <p>If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.</p> <p>If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.</p> <p>For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</p> <p>3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>																	

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001196

Box No. I

Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/001196

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																			
<p>1. Statement</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">Novelty (N)</td> <td style="width: 60%;">Claims <u>1 - 6</u></td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: right;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims _____</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Inventive step (IS)</td> <td>Claims _____</td> <td style="text-align: right;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims <u>1 - 6</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td>Claims <u>1 - 6</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims _____</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Claims 1-6</p> <p>Document 1: JP, 2003-303711, A (JFE Steel Corporation), 24 October, 2003 (24.10.03), Full text, all drawings & CA, 2378417, A1 & CN, 1381852, A & EP, 1246209, A & US, 2003077448, A1</p> <p>Document 2: JP, 10-335128, A (DDK Ltd.), 18 December, 1998 (18.12.98), Full text, all drawings & CN, 1198579, A & EP, 0869517, A & US, 6102980, A</p> <p>Document 3: JP, 11-238613, A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 31 August, 1999 (31.08.99), Full text, all drawings & CN, 1198577, A & EP, 0872856, A & US, 6063209, A</p> <p>Document 4: JP, 2002-246219, A (Hitachi Powdered Metal Co., Ltd.), 30 August, 2002 (30.08.02), Full text, all drawings & DE, 10207133, A</p> <p>Document 5: JP, 2003-234206, A (Asahi Kasei Corporation), 22 August, 2003 (22.08.03), Full text, all drawings</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">In the case of the powdered magnetic core that uses iron-based powder described in document 1, setting the grain diameter of a metal oxide, which is a dispersed particle, at 0.01µm - 0.1µm (see document 2) is within the ordinary design ability expected of a person skilled in the art. Also, using dispersed particles as spacers (see document 3) and specifying the thickness of the membrane (see documents 4 and 5) are each a mere matter of design variation.</p>			Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	YES		Claims _____	NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims _____	YES		Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	YES		Claims _____	NO
Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	YES																		
	Claims _____	NO																		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims _____	YES																		
	Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	NO																		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1 - 6</u>	YES																		
	Claims _____	NO																		